

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/830,154	SCHWENG, DETLEF	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM.

(2) STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN (Reg. No. 37, 761).

**Date of Interview:** 10 December 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 27 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner discussed with applicant's representative to suggest claims 1, 14, 27 and 40 to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 rejection.*

*Applicant's representative agreed with examiner to amend claims 1, 14, 27 and 40 to overcome 35 U.S.C 101 rejection.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/AKLILU WOLDEMARIAM/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)